

Minutes of a meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** held in the Council Chamber, Council Offices, Priory Road, Spalding, on Wednesday, 7 June 2023 at 6.30 pm.

PRESENT

J Avery (Chairman)
A C Beal (Vice-Chairman)

B Alcock
D Ashby
P Barnes
H J W Bingham

C J T H Brewis
S Hutchinson
P A Redgate
T Sneath

G J Taylor
A C Tennant
J Tyrrell
A R Woolf

In Attendance: Councillor M Le Sage (Ward Member), the Head of Planning, the Interim Development Manager, the Senior Planning Lawyer, the Principal Planning Officer, the Planning Consultant and the Democratic Services Assistant.

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.

The Senior Planning Lawyer reported that notification had been received of the following substitutions for this meeting only:

- Councillor A Casson was replacing Councillor J Whitbourn

2. CHAIRMAN'S PANEL

In accordance with the Constitution the Chairman's Panel currently comprised 3 members, following as closely as possible the political balance of the Committee, and had to include the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Planning Committee. Members were invited to consider (i) whether the Panel should be re-established for the period 2023-2027; (ii) whether any amendment should be made to the terms of reference or composition of the Panel (any amendment would require Council approval as it would necessitate an amendment to the Constitution); and (iii) the appointment of a third member of the Panel for the period 2023-2027.

AGREED:

1. That the Chairman's Panel be re-established for the period 2023-2027.
2. That no amendment be made to the terms of reference or composition of the panel; and
3. That Councillor Alcock be appointed as the third member of the Chairman's Panel for the year 2023-2027.

3. MINUTES

Consideration was given to the minutes of the meeting held on 8 March 2023.

AGREED:

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 7 June 2023

That the minutes be signed as a correct record.

4. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS.

Councillor Redgate declared an interest in relation to item 6 as he had expressed his support for the application and was pre-determined. Therefore, he would leave the room for the whole discussion of the item.

Councillor Bingham declared an interest in item 7 and would leave the room for the whole discussion of that item.

Councillor Alcock declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 8 and would leave the room for the whole discussion of that item.

5. QUESTIONS ASKED UNDER THE COUNCIL'S CONSTITUTION (STANDING ORDERS).

There were none.

6. H07-0192-22

Planning No. and Applicant

H07-0192-22 Mr J Murray

Proposal

Full application for proposed use of land for the stationing of accommodation for Armed Forces Veterans to create a reintegration facility at Teremok, Station Road, Gedney Hill

Consideration was given to the report of the Development Manager upon which the above application was to be determined, including his recommendations, copies of which had previously been circulated to all members.

Members debated the matter and fully explored the details of the application in light of prevailing policies and guidance, The debate was not repeated here as Planning Committee meetings were webcast and could be viewed on South Holland District Council's Facebook page for a limited period of time, in line with the Democratic Services Privacy Notice.

AGREED:

That permission be granted subject to the conditions set out at Section 9.0 of the report.

(Moved by Councillor Brewis, Seconded by Councillor Woolf)

Oral representations were received in respect of the above application in line with the Council's scheme of public speaking at Planning Committee meetings:

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 7 June 2023

Supporter: John Murray (Applicant)

7. **H11-0994-22**

Planning No. and Applicant

H11-0994-22 Stinders Homes
SPV1 Ltd/PJK Magpie Close Ltd.

Proposal

Full application for residential development comprising 56 dwellings at land to rear of 36 Lime Walk, Long Sutton, Spalding

Consideration was given to the report of the Development Manager upon which the above application was to be determined, including his recommendations, copies of which had previously been circulated to all members.

Members debated the matter and fully explored the details of the application in light of prevailing policies and guidance, The debate was not repeated here as Planning Committee meetings were webcast and could be viewed on South Holland District Council's Facebook page for a limited period of time, in line with the Democratic Services Privacy Notice.

AGREED:

That the application be refused due the design, layout and density of the development not being in keeping with the surrounding rural area of Long Sutton resulting in an unacceptable impact on its character and appearance and delegation be given to officers to draft these reasons for refusal including relevant Policy references before returning the application to Chairman's Panel for final sign off before a decision notice is issued.

(Moved by Councillor Tyrrell, Seconded by Councillor T Sneath)

8. **H02-0030-23**

Planning No. and Applicant

H02-0030-23 Mr G Jenkins

Proposal

Full application for change of use of dwelling to include the use for dog breeding – retrospective at 67 North Street, Crowland.

Consideration was given to the report of the Development Manager upon which the above application was to be determined, including his recommendations, copies of which had previously been circulated to all members.

Members debated the matter and fully explored the details of the application in light of prevailing policies and guidance, The debate was not repeated here as Planning Committee meetings were webcast and could be viewed on South Holland District

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 7 June 2023

Council's Facebook page for a limited period of time, in line with the Democratic Services Privacy Notice.
location.

AGREED:

That permission be refused due to concerns that the proposed change of use would cause a harmful and unacceptable impact within a residential area and the resultant noise and activity levels on the site would have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of residents and the local environment and delegation be given to officers to draft these reasons for refusal including relevant Policy references before returning the application to Chairman's Panel for final sign off before a decision notice is issued.

(Moved by Councillor Redgate, Seconded by Councillor Brewis)

9. **H16-0042-23**

Planning No. and Applicant

H16-0042-23 Mr D Bowden

Proposal

Full application for change of use of a residential property (C3) to a HMO (C4 or Sui Generis) – re-submission of H16-0737-22 at 5 Stonegate, Spalding

Consideration was given to the report of the Development Manager upon which the above application was to be determined, including his recommendations, copies of which had previously been circulated to all members.

Members debated the matter and fully explored the details of the application in light of prevailing policies and guidance, The debate was not repeated here as Planning Committee meetings were webcast and could be viewed on South Holland District Council's Facebook page for a limited period of time, in line with the Democratic Services Privacy Notice.

Councillor M Le Sage was in attendance to speak as a ward member on this item.

AGREED:

That permission be refused due to inadequate accommodation and parking arrangements along with additional noise and disturbance that would have an unacceptable impact on the amenity of local residents and delegation be given to officers to draft these reasons for refusal including relevant Policy references before returning the application to Chairman's Panel for final sign off before a decision notice is issued.

(Moved by Councillor Redgate, Seconded by Councillor Tennant)

10. **PLANNING APPEALS**

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 7 June 2023

Consideration was given to the report of the Development Manager which provided an update on recent appeals decisions.

Members were pleased to see that there were many appeal decisions dismissed, particularly in relation to an application in Sutton Bridge, and thanked the Officers for their work.

AGREED:

That the report be noted.

11. **PLANNING PROTOCOL**

Consideration was given to the report of the Senior Planning Lawyer which asked the Planning Committee to note the updated Planning Protocol for use by Officers and Members.

AGREED:

That the updated Planning Protocol be noted.

12. **ANY OTHER ITEMS WHICH THE CHAIRMAN DECIDES ARE URGENT.**

There were none.

(The meeting ended at 8.51 pm)

(End of minutes)