OVERVIEW

Since the last report 3 appeal decision(s) have been received, the results are as follows:

- Appeals Dismissed: 1
- Appeals Allowed: 2
- Appeals Part Allowed: 0

Since the 1st April 2017 35 planning appeal decisions have been received of which 24 have been dismissed, which equates to a success rate of 68.57%.

PLANNING APPEALS DISMISSED

H16-1042-16 Mr K Mazur - Mark Simmonds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>15 Alexandra Road Spalding</th>
<th>APP/A2525/W/17/3176760</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change of Use of Dwelling to House of Multiple Occupation</td>
<td>Decision Date: 05 October 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Inspector concluded the cumulative effect of the proposal together with the existing flat conversions in the vicinity would cause an unacceptable erosion of the family dwelling character of the area. Consequently, the proposal would be contrary to Saved Policy HS18 (3) of the Local Plan. Further it would have the potential to exacerbate the amenity concerns of noise and disturbance which would have a detrimental effect on the living conditions of local residents. As such the proposal would not accord with the amenity protection aims of Saved Policies SG1, SG17 and HS18 of the Local Plan.

PLANNING APPEALS ALLOWED

H02-1232-16 Mr R Chakadya - David Grant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5 Oak Square Crowland</th>
<th>APP/A2525/D/17/3177234</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erection of a 2 metre high rear boundary fence</td>
<td>Decision Date: 29 September 017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Inspector concluded that close-boarded and panelled timber fencing, along the side and rear
boundaries of properties, is a common and visually prominent feature within Oak Square. The appeal proposal would be similar in terms of its height, overall appearance and positioning to these other boundary structures. Furthermore, the proposal to set the rear fence 2m back from the access road would also be consistent with the existing rear fences at numbers 7 and 9. This setback would also help to reduce the visual impact of the fence on the streetscene and on the outlook from number 20. Consequently, the Inspector considered that the proposal would not have an adverse effect on the appearance of the area and neither would it conflict with the Development Plan or with the Framework.

H05-1160-16 Mr A Balch - David Grant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>37 Fleet Road Holbeach</th>
<th>APP/A2525/W/17/3176758</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential development - proposed house</td>
<td>Decision Date: 06 October 017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Inspector concluded the proposed development would not cause significant harm to the character and appearance of the surrounding area. Consequently there would be no conflict with Saved Policy SG14 of the South Holland Local Plan (2006). Further the proposed development would not cause any demonstrable or significant harm to the living conditions of the future occupants of the proposed dwelling or of the units currently being constructed to the east. Consequently there would be no conflict with Saved Policy SG17 of the Local Plan.

4.0 ENFORCEMENT APPEALS DISMISSED
None

5.0 ENFORCEMENT APPEALS UPHELD
None
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